

**HINCKLEY AND BOSWORTH  
LOCAL PLAN  
Ronald Toon Road, Earl Shilton - RES1(p)  
Agricultural Land Classification  
February 1997**

**Resource Planning Team  
Eastern Statutory Centre  
ADAS Cambridge**

**ADAS Reference: 95/96g  
MAFF Reference: EL 22/01519B  
LUPU Commission: 02633**

# AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION REPORT

## HINCKLEY AND BOSWORTH LOCAL PLAN Ronald Toon Road, Earl Shilton - RES1(p)

### INTRODUCTION

1. This report presents the findings of a detailed Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) survey of 5.0 ha of land situated east of Ronald Toon Road at Earl Shilton in Leicestershire. The survey was carried out during January 1997.
2. The survey was commissioned by the Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food (MAFF) Land Use Planning Unit, Cambridge in connection with Hinckley and Bosworth Local Plan. This survey supersedes previous ALC surveys on this land.
3. The work was conducted by members of the Resource Planning Team in the Eastern Statutory Centre in ADAS. The land has been graded in accordance with the published MAFF ALC guidelines and criteria (MAFF, 1988). A description of the ALC grades and subgrades is given in Appendix I.
4. At the time of survey the land use on the site was rough grassland.

### SUMMARY

5. The findings of the survey are shown on the enclosed ALC map. The map has been drawn at a scale of 1:10 000 it is accurate at this scale but any enlargement would be misleading.
6. The area and proportions of the ALC grades and subgrades on the surveyed land are summarised in Table 1.

**Table 1: Area of grades and other land**

Grade/Other land	Area (hectares)	% Total site area
2	5.0	100
Total surveyed area	5.0	100

7. The fieldwork was conducted at an average density of 1 auger boring per hectare. A total of 5 auger borings and 1 soil pit were described.
8. The agricultural land at the site has been graded 2 (very good quality agricultural land) due to minor topsoil stoniness and droughtiness limitations.

## FACTORS INFLUENCING ALC GRADE

### Climate

9. Climate affects the grading of land through the assessment of an overall climatic limitation and also through interactions with soil characteristics.
10. The key climatic variables used for grading this site are given in Table 2 and were obtained from the published 5 km grid datasets using the standard interpolation procedures (Met. Office, 1989).

Table 2: Climatic and altitude data

Factor	Units	Values
Grid reference	N/A	SP 474 978
Altitude	m, AOD	100
Accumulated Temperature	day°C (Jan-June)	1360
Average Annual Rainfall	mm	652
Field Capacity Days	days	150
Moisture Deficit, Wheat	mm	99
Moisture Deficit, Potatoes	mm	89

11. The climatic criteria are considered first when classifying land as climate can be overriding in the sense that severe limitations will restrict land to low grades irrespective of favourable site or soil conditions.
12. The main parameters used in the assessment of an overall climatic limitation are average annual rainfall (AAR), as a measure of overall wetness, and accumulated temperature (ATO, January to June), as a measure of the relative warmth of a locality.
13. The combination of rainfall and temperature at this site mean that it is relatively warm and dry. These climatic characteristics are such that in themselves they impose no limitation to land quality and therefore the climate grade for this site is 1.

### Site

14. The site occupies an area of gently sloping land which ranges in altitude from 100 m AOD in the south of the site to 110 m AOD in the north. Therefore neither gradient nor altitude impose limitations to land quality.

### Geology and soils

15. The published 1:50 000 scale geology map, sheet 155, Coalville (Geological Survey of Great Britain, 1982) shows the site as comprising glacial sand and gravel deposits overlying Mercia Mudstone.
16. On the 1:250 000 scale published soils map, sheet 3, Soils of Midland and Western England (Soil Survey of England and Wales, 1983) the majority of the site is mapped as

consisting of soils of the Wick Association which are briefly described as deep well drained coarse loamy and sandy soils, locally over gravel. Some similar soils affected by groundwater. A small area of the Whimble 3 Association soils is mapped in the south of the site and these soils are briefly described reddish fine loamy or fine silty over clayey soils with slowly permeable subsoils and slight seasonal waterlogging. Some similar clayey soils on brows. Slowly permeable seasonally waterlogged fine loamy and fine silty over clayey soils on lower slopes.

17. The current survey of the site identified one main soil type. This typically comprised slightly stony medium sandy loam topsoils over similar textured slightly or moderately stony upper subsoils. Beneath this the soils often became progressively coarser textured being loamy medium sand which was slightly or moderately stony. The profiles were typically non-calcareous and free draining.

### **Agricultural Land Classification**

18. The details of the classification of the site are shown on the attached ALC map and the area statistics of each grade are given in Table 1, page 1.

19. The location of the auger borings and pits is shown on the attached sample location map.

#### *Grade 2*

20. The whole site has been graded 2 and the land corresponds with the soil type described in paragraph 17. Typically many of the topsoils have between 6% and 10% stones greater than 2 cm in diameter which act as a slight impediment to cultivation, harvesting and crop growth and therefore this excludes the land from a higher grade. In addition, these soils are generally free draining (wetness class I) (for definition of wetness classes see Appendix II) and where profiles have particularly light textures this combines with the profile stones to reduce the water reserves available for plant growth within the soils. Moisture balance calculations indicate that these profiles typically suffer from minor droughtiness limitations and this also restricts the land to grade 2.

21. Although individual profiles of better and/or poorer grades were occasionally noted within this mapping unit, they occurred too randomly or inextensively to permit separate delineation at the scale shown.

Ruth Tarrant  
Resource Planning Team  
Eastern Statutory Centre  
ADAS Cambridge

## SOURCES OF REFERENCE

Geological Survey of Great Britain (England and Wales) (1982) *Sheet 155, Coalville*.  
BGS: London.

Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food (1988) *Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales: Revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land*. MAFF: London.

Met. Office (1989) *Climatological Data for Agricultural Land Classification*.  
Met. Office: Bracknell.

Soil Survey of England and Wales (1983) *Sheet 3, Soils of Midland and Western England*.  
SSEW: Harpenden.

Soil Survey of England and Wales (1984) *Soils and their Use in Midland and Western England*.  
SSEW: Harpenden

## APPENDIX I

### DESCRIPTION OF THE GRADES AND SUBGRADES

#### **Grade 1: Excellent Quality Agricultural Land**

Land with no or very minor limitations to agricultural use. A very wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can be grown and commonly includes top fruit, soft fruit, salad crops and winter harvested vegetables. Yields are high and less variable than on land of lower quality.

#### **Grade 2: Very Good Quality Agricultural Land**

Land with minor limitations which affect crop yield, cultivations or harvesting. A wide range of agricultural or horticultural crops can usually be grown but on some land of this grade there may be reduced flexibility due to difficulties with the production of the more demanding crops such as winter harvested vegetables and arable root crops. The level of yield is generally high but may be lower or more variable than Grade 1 land.

#### **Grade 3: Good to Moderate Quality Land**

Land with moderate limitations which affect the choice of crops, the timing and type of cultivation, harvesting or the level of yield. When more demanding crops are grown, yields are generally lower or more variable than on land in Grades 1 and 2.

#### **Subgrade 3a: Good Quality Agricultural Land**

Land capable of consistently producing moderate to high yields of a narrow range of arable crops, especially cereals, or moderate yields of a wide range of crops including cereals, grass, oilseed rape, potatoes, sugar beet and the less demanding horticultural crops.

#### **Subgrade 3b: Moderate Quality Agricultural Land**

Land capable of producing moderate yields of a narrow range of crops, principally cereals and grass, or lower yields of a wider range of crops or high yields of grass that can be grazed or harvested over most of the year.

#### **Grade 4: Poor Quality Agricultural Land**

Land with severe limitations which significantly restrict the range of crops and/or the level of yields. It is mainly suited to grass with occasional arable crops (eg. cereals and forage crops) the yields of which are variable. In moist climates, yields of grass may be moderate to high but there may be difficulties in utilisation. The grade also includes very droughty arable land.

#### **Grade 5: Very Poor Quality Agricultural Land**

Land with severe limitations that restricts use to permanent pasture or rough grazing, except for occasional pioneer forage crops.

## APPENDIX II

### SOIL WETNESS CLASSIFICATION

#### Definitions of Soil Wetness Classes

Soil wetness is classified according to the depth and duration of waterlogging in the soil profile. Six soil wetness classes are identified and are defined in the table below.

---

Wetness Class	Duration of waterlogging <sup>1</sup>
I	The soil profile is not wet within 70 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years. <sup>2</sup>
II	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 31-90 days in most years or, if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 70 cm for more than 90 days, but only wet within 40 cm depth for 30 days in most years.
III	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 91-180 days in most years or, if there is no slowly permeable layer present within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 70 cm for more than 180 days, but only wet within 40 cm depth for between 31-90 days in most years.
IV	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for more than 180 days but not wet within 40 cm depth for more than 210 days in most years or, if there is no slowly permeable layer present within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 40 cm depth for 91-210 days in most years.
V	The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for 211-335 days in most years.
VI	The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for more than 335 days in most years.

---

#### Assessment of Wetness Class

Soils have been allocated to wetness classes by the interpretation of soil profile characteristics and climatic factors using the methodology described in *Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales: Revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land* (MAFF, 1988).

---

<sup>1</sup> The number of days is not necessarily a continuous period.

<sup>2</sup> 'In most years' is defined as more than 10 out of 20 years.